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Dementia vs Alzheimers disease

- Alzheimers and dementia often used interchangeably but not correct

- Dementia = set of symptoms

- Alzheimer's disease = a pathologic process

- dementia – progressive loss of intellectual abilities serious enough to interfere with daily life (loss of independence)

- Alzheimer's disease - most common etiology contributing to dementia but other age related conditions usually contribute
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Pathological heterogeneity in old age

- Most demented (and many cognitively unimpaired) have multiple pathologies: AD (plaques and tangles), LB, CVD, HS, grain disease, TDP43
- Nelson, Acta Neuropath 2011

Pure AD is uncommon in elderly (Markesbery 2006, Schneider 2009, Sonnen 2011)
evolution of diagnostic criteria for AD

- McKhann et al 1984: clinical- pathologic entity
  - possible/probable AD in life after exclusions
  - Definite AD only at autopsy
  - Over time, however, amnestic dementia became equated with AD


- NIA-AA 2011: 3 separate sets of diagnostic guidelines
  - Symptomatic – MCI, dementia – intended for clinical & research use
  - Preclinical AD – intended for research use
Why update 2011 NIA AA guidelines?

- 2011 presented a compartmentalized view of AD
  - AD is a continuum, not 3 separate entities

- Harmonize definition of AD across continuum
  - Prob AD – 2011 retained clinical definitions from McKhann 1984

- Harmonize implementation of biomarkers across continuum

- Introduction of tau PET – integrate into framework

- Increasing acceptance that certain biomarkers are valid proxies for AD pathologic changes

- Increasing recognition of frequent mismatches betw clinical dx of “AD” & neuropath dx (Beach 2012; Se 70-87%; Sp 44-70%)
Why update 2011 NIA AA guidelines?

30% error rate not tolerable “when it matters”

- Clinical trials of disease modifying interventions
- Symptomatic - need for diagnostic specificity (clinical dx 30% error)
- Pre clinical - intervention to prevent symptom onset

---
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Guiding principles

- **OBJECTIVE**: update a scheme for *defining* and *staging* the disease across its entire spectrum with which the research community can *communicate findings in a common manner*.

- *Research* framework, not intended for general clinical care.

- 2 use cases – *observational* and *interventional* research.
What is the definition of AD? **BIOLOGICAL**

- Separation of syndrome from disease
- Term AD refers to pathologic change – not to a syndrome(s)
- AD is identified at post mortem by pathologic changes and in vivo by biomarkers
  - Symptoms are part of the disease continuum not its definition
  - Major shift in thinking
What biomarker profile(s) define AD?

guiding principles

- only biomarkers that are specific for hallmark AD proteinopathies (i.e. Ab and pathologic tau) were considered as potential biomarkers defining the presence of the disease

- Specifications: must function equally well throughout the disease spectrum
  - early through late life onset
  - from pre symptomatic through symptomatic phases
  - for both typical and atypical clinical presentations
Definition of Alzheimer's spectrum

- “Alzheimer’s pathologic change” - biomarker evidence of B-amyloid alone
  - applied when tau biomarker normal or not available
  - ample data supporting causal/early role for Ab

- “Alzheimer’s disease” - biomarker evidence of both amyloid and pathologic tau is required
  - Harmonizes in vivo and neuropath definition

- not regarded as separate entities but earlier and later phases of “Alzheimer’s continuum” (umbrella term)
Operationalization: how to create orderly, common use framework?  
AT(N) biomarker grouping, Neurology 2016

- **B-amyloid plaques or associated pathologic state: A**
  - CSF Ab 42 (low), or better low 42/40 ratio
  - Amyloid PET

- **Aggregated 3R/4R tau or associated pathologic state: T**
  - CSF phosphorylated tau (high)
  - Tau PET

- **Neuronal injury and neurodegeneration: (N)**
  - Structural MRI
  - FDG PET
  - CSF total tau (high)
**AT(N) biomarker profiles & categories**

- Each biomarker group (AT(N)) can be dichotomized into 8 “profiles”
- 3 “biomarker categories”;
  - normal biomarkers
  - Alzheimer's continuum
  - Non AD pathologic change (SNAP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT(N) profiles</th>
<th>Biomarker category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-T-(N)-</td>
<td>Normal AD biomarkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A+T-(N)-</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A+T+(N)-</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A+T+(N)+</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A+T-(N)+</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-T+(N)-</td>
<td>Non- AD pathologic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-T-(N)+</td>
<td>Non- AD pathologic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-T+(N)+</td>
<td>Non- AD pathologic change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dynamic biomarker model: modified amyloid cascade

\[ A - T - (N) - \rightarrow A + T - (N) - \rightarrow A + T + (N) - \rightarrow A + T + (N) + \]
Operationalization: how to create orderly, common use framework?

**AT(N) biomarker grouping, Neurology 2016**

- **B-amyloid plaques or associated pathologic state: A**
  - CSF Ab 42 (low), or better low 42/40 ratio
  - Amyloid PET

- **Aggregated 3R/4R tau or associated pathologic state: T**
  - CSF phosphorylated tau (high)
  - Tau PET

- **Neuronal injury and neurodegeneration: (N)**
  - Structural MRI
  - FDG PET
  - CSF total tau (high)
AT(N) biomarker profile *evolution*

- **B-amyloid plaques or associated pathologic state: A**
  - CSF Ab 42, or better low 42/40 ratio
  - Amyloid PET
  - *Plasma Ab42/40*
  - *Retinal imaging*

- **Aggregated 3R/4R tau or associated pathologic state: T**
  - CSF phosphorylated tau
  - Tau PET
  - *Plasma P-tau*

- **Neuronal injury and neurodegeneration (N)**
  - Structural MRI
  - FDG PET
  - *CSF or plasma NFL*
  - *UCB-J PET*

- **Vascular**
- **Inflammation**
- **Alpha synuclein**
- **TDP 43**
Defining vs staging

- measures used to define AD must be specific vs. measures used to stage need not be different roles

**Definition**

- **A:** Aβ biomarkers determine whether or not an individual is in the Alzheimer’s continuum
- **T:** Pathologic tau biomarkers determine if someone who is in the Alzheimer’s continuum has AD

**Staging severity**

- **(N):** Neurodegenerative/ neuronal injury biomarkers
- **(C):** cognitive symptoms
framework cognitive staging - 2 schemes

- **Syndromal categorical cognitive staging**
  - Cognitively unimpaired (CU), MCI, dementia - largely same as 2011
  - Independent from biomarkers
  - Includes all members of cohort

- **Numeric clinical staging (1-6)**
  - Avoids traditional syndromal labels
  - Applicable *only* to those in the Alzheimer's continuum
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### Nomenclature: Syndromal cognitive staging combined with biomarker profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker Profile</th>
<th>Cognitive stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitively Unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^- T^+ (N^-) )</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^+ T^- (N^-) )</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s pathologic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^+ T^- (N^-) )</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^+ T^+ (N^-) )</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^+ T^- (N^+) )</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^- T^+ (N^-) )</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^- T^- (N^-) )</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Nomenclature: Syndromal cognitive staging combined with biomarker profiles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker Profile</th>
<th>Cognitively Unimpaired</th>
<th>Mild Cognitive Impairment</th>
<th>Dementia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A^- T^-(N^-)$</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers, cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers with MCI</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^+ T^-(N^-)$</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s pathologic change</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^+ T^+(N^-)$</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease with MCI</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^+ T^+(N^+)$</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^- T^+(N^-)$</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomarker Profile</td>
<td>Cognitively Unimpaired</td>
<td>Mild Cognitive Impairment</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁺ (N⁻)</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers,</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers with</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁺ T⁻ (N⁻)</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pathologic change</td>
<td>change with MCI</td>
<td>dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁺ T⁺ (N⁻)</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease with</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disease</td>
<td>MCI (Prodromal AD)</td>
<td>dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁺ T⁺ (N⁺)</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>suspected non Alzheimer’s</td>
<td>suspected non Alzheimer’s</td>
<td>suspected non Alzheimer’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td>pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁺ (N⁻)</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁻ (N⁺)</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nomenclature: Syndromal cognitive staging combined with biomarker profiles
### Nomenclature: Syndromal cognitive staging combined with biomarker profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker Profile</th>
<th>Cognitive stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Cognitively Unimpaired</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁺(N⁻)</td>
<td>normal AD biomarkers, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁺ T⁻(N⁻)</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s pathologic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁺ T⁺(N⁻)</td>
<td>Preclinical Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁺ T⁺(N⁺)</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁺(N⁺)</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change, cognitively unimpaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁻(N⁻)</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A⁻ T⁻(N⁺)</td>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Mild Cognitive Impairment</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>normal AD biomarkers with MCI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease with MCI(Prodromal AD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with MCI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Dementia</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>normal AD biomarkers with dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease with dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s and concomitant suspected non Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-Alzheimer’s pathologic change with dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Controversy: What is the definition of AD? How should the term AD be used?

- Biological definition is appropriate
- Biological definition is not appropriate
What is the alternative to a biological definition of AD?

- Often not precisely articulated but usually some sort of cognitive impairment/dementia syndrome

- Possible clinical definitions
  - AD = dementia
  - AD = amnestic dementia
Consequence of defining AD as dementia

- MAPT mutation carriers = AD
- Progranulin mutation carriers = AD
- CJD = AD
- Huntington's disease = AD
- Multiple strokes = AD
- The obstructive hydrocephalus variant of AD
- The MS variant of AD
- The brain tumor variant of AD
Conclusion:
“Alzheimer’s disease is likely caused by a mosaic that includes: viral (HIV/AIDS, herpes simplex virus type I, varicella zoster virus, cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus), bacteria (syphilis and lyme-disease/borrelia), parasites (toxoplasmosis, cryptococcosis and neurocysticercosis), fungi (Candida glabrata), infections (possibly prions), and vascular (stroke, multiple-infarct dementia, hydrocephalus, injury and brain tumors).”
What is the alternative to a biological definition of AD?

- Often not precisely articulated but usually some sort of cognitive impairment/dementia
- Possible clinical definitions
  - AD = dementia
  - AD = amnestic dementia
some insist AD means dementia syndrome

- Preclinical AD not valid concept, symptoms required, at risk for AD
  - Response: Preclinical dz. is universal in medicine. At risk for AD?
- Use of term AD to mean syndrome too engrained to change
  - Response: change always possible, education needed – e.g. addiction
- Biological definition premature, biomarkers not yet well studied
  - Response: CSF 20 yrs, routine in Europe, commercial test available. Amyloid PET > 10 yrs, MRI FDG 30-35 yrs
- Biological definition devalues research without biomarkers
Preclinical AD not universally embraced
- at risk for AD? Preclinical dz. is universal in medicine

Use of term AD to mean syndrome too engrained to change
- Response: change always possible, education needed – e.g. addiction

Biological definition premature, biomarkers not yet well studied
- Response: CSF 20 yrs, routine in Europe, commercial test available. Amyloid PET > 10 yrs, MRI FDG 30-35 yrs

Biological definition devalues research without biomarkers
some insist AD means dementia syndrome

- Preclinical AD not universally embraced
  - at risk for AD? Preclinical dz. is universal in medicine

- Use of term AD to mean syndrome too engrained to change
  - Response: change always possible, education needed – e.g. addiction

- Biological definition premature, biomarkers not yet well studied
  - Response: CSF 20 yrs, routine in Europe, commercial test available.
  - Amyloid PET > 10 yrs, MRI FDG 30-35 yrs

- Biological definition devalues research without biomarkers
some insist AD means dementia syndrome

- Preclinical AD not universally embraced
  - at risk for AD? Preclinical dz. is universal in medicine

- Use of term AD to mean syndrome too engrained to change
  - Response: change always possible, education needed – e.g. addiction

- Biological definition premature, biomarkers not yet well studied
  - Response: CSF 20 yrs, routine in Europe, commercial test available. Amyloid PET > 10 yrs, MRI FDG 30-35 yrs

- Biological definition devalues research without biomarkers
  - Response – next slide
Clinical research without biomarkers

- Clinical research without biomarkers does not have to label dementia syndrome as AD to be valuable
  - “Rehabilitate use of the term dementia” – Jagust
- Clinical research without biomarkers provides information about risk factors for *clinically defined* syndromes & societal burden of cognitive disability – but not AD
  - Amnestic multi domain dementia *is not* synonymous with the presence of b-amyloid deposition and neurofibrillar degeneration (i.e. AD)
  - Absence of amnestic dementia *is not* synonymous with the absence of b-amyloid deposition and neurofibrillary degeneration (i.e. AD)
Clinical research without biomarkers

- "recommend that a clinically ascertained syndrome consistent with what has historically been labeled “probable or possible AD” be referred to as Alzheimer’s clinical syndrome, but not as Alzheimer's disease or some modified form of Alzheimer's disease (e.g. possible or probable AD”)

- terminology applies to both mildly impaired and demented individuals

- terminology is consistent with our position that a dementia syndrome can be due to a variety of diseases, one is AD

- Consistent with FTLD field – eg CBS vs CBD
definition of AD vs mechanisms underlying AD

- NIA AA framework does not require A and T to be causal
  - framework can serve as a hypothesis testing platform for disease models where A and T are present as epiphenomena as well as models where they are causal

- BUT it is A and T proteinopathies that define AD as a unique disease among the many that can lead to dementia

- No “amyloid free” or “tau free” Alzheimers disease
  - “amyloid free” or “tau free” dementia yes, AD no
Conclusions

- Recommend biological definition of AD: separation of syndrome from pathologic change
  - AD is defined by AD neuropathologic change (plaques/tangles) or its biomarkers, not by presence or nature of clinical symptoms

- Why
  - Better understanding of the sequence of events in Alzheimer's continuum that lead to cognitive impairment
  - Better understanding of the multi factorial etiology of dementia
  - A more precise approach to therapeutic interventional trials